

United States Patent and Trademark Office





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/964,940	09/964,940 09/27/2001		Paul Portmann	33966	2200	
116	7590	08/15/2003				
		DON LLP		EXAM	EXAMINER	
526 SUPERIOR AVENUE EAST SUITE 1200 CLEVELAND, OH 44114-1484				LUEBKE, REN		
				(
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2833		
				DATE MAILED: 08/15/2003	DATE MAILED: 08/15/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application N .	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/964,940	PORTMANN					
rance, y rienen	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Renee S. Luebke	2833					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 31 July 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
_	PLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mo	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE con which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 36(a) and the appropriate extension fee efee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in					
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		,					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:						
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifying the					
(d) X they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s): <u>the rejection under 35 L</u>	ISC 112 and the objections.					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:							
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.							
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: 4-6 and 9.							
Claim(s) rejected: 1,2,7,8 and 10-12.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on 31 July 2	003 is a)⊠ approved or b)□	disapproved by the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,						
	•	Renee S. Lüebke Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2833					





Continuation of 2. NOTE: The addition of new claims (especially without the required indication of why they overcome the art of record) inherently constitutes a new issue(s).

Continuation of 10. Other: Applicant's deposit account has been charged for the additional 9 claims added by submission of multiple dependent claim 14.